

4th April 2017

The Registrar
Gibraltar Appeal Court

Dear Sir,

Re: Substantial Bias & Conflict of Interest at 30/3/2017 Appeal Court Hearing
Philip Arundel van der Westhuizen v HMAG

I hope this finds you well, in good spirit and having a good day.

Having now learned the names of the three 'Justice Appeals' who presided at my hearing, I also learnt that Maurice Kay is also a Privy Counselor, as were John Goldring and Martin Moore-Bick before, to Mrs. Elizabeth Battenberg (alias Windsor).

So, not only are they pledged to her via their BAR oaths, but Maurice Kay is also bound by his Privy Counselor oath (maybe John Goldring and Martin Moore-Bick still are?), one of the most intimate pledges of allegiance to her protection, which would, without any doubt, on that alone, disqualify him/them from hearing the arguments, because she **is the accused**; from the beginning.

From the Privy Counselors oath, "You do swear by Almighty God to be a true and faithful Servant unto the Queen's Majesty, as one of Her Majesty's Privy Council. You will **not know** or understand of **any manner** of thing to be attempted, done, or spoken **against Her Majesty's Person, Honour, Crown, or Dignity Royal**, but you will let and **withstand** the same to the **utmost of your Power**, and either cause it to be revealed to Her Majesty Herself, or to such of Her Privy Council as shall advertise Her Majesty of the same. (and more) So help you God"
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Privy_Council_of_the_United_Kingdom#Privy_Council_oath_and_initiation_rite

How could it be possible for them to deliver an unbiased judgment given that oath alone? And I have been prejudiced again by this obviously biased/ criminal system that has allowed this.

God, Who the gentlemen swore their oaths before, and called upon for help,
Commanded judges, via Moses:

King of kings' Bible JAHTruth.net/kofk-free/Bible Deuteronomy (5th Book of The Laws of God) 1:16 And I charged your judges at that time, saying, Hear [the causes] between your brethren, and judge **righteously** between [every] man and his brother, and the stranger [that is] with him.
1:17 Ye shall **not** respect persons (titles, position etc.) in Judgment; [but] ye shall hear the small as well as the great; ye shall not be afraid of the face of man; for the Judgment [is] God's: and the cause that is too hard for you, bring [it] unto me, and I will hear it.

But she is the Earth's greatest traitor, and therefore criminal, to God and Christ, having, especially, concealed the Second Coming of Christ: the British King of kings, and thereby the ONLY means for **world peace** etc.*, so those oaths to protect her are null and void anyway? and complying with them just supports her false belief in her ill-gotten position, which condemns **her**; by her own/encouraged by others, actions, if it's possible to believe that such mutually self-destructive behavior actually happens?

* Refer: 'Appellant's Response to Respondent's Skeleton Arguments' dated 11th/12th/1/2017. Also found at the end of the 8th-Special Edition Gibraltar Messenger gibraltarmessenger.net.

I emailed notification on the 30/3/2017 that I intend to appeal to the UK, but do I need to ask, if I'm going to continually be up against this patently unfair bias that is preventing these truths from being demonstrated in a fair and unbiased hearing?

Yours truly,



Philip van der Westhuizen.

RECEIVED AT SUPREME COURT
REGISTRY COUNTER

04 APR 2017

Time.....

